

REPRESENTATIONS OF HERMITIAN COMMUTATIVE *-ALGEBRAS BY UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

MARCO THILL

Dedicated to Christian Berg on the occasion of his sixty-fifth birthday

ABSTRACT. We give a spectral theorem for unital representations of Hermitian commutative unital *-algebras by possibly unbounded operators in pre-Hilbert spaces. A more general result is known for the case in which the *-algebra is countably generated.

1. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let π be a unital representation of a Hermitian commutative unital *-algebra A in a pre-Hilbert space $H \neq \{0\}$. The operators $\pi(a)$ ($a \in A$) then are essentially normal in the completion of H . The spectrum $\text{sp}(\pi) \subset \Delta(A) = \Delta^*(A)$ of the representation π is defined by*

$$\text{sp}(\pi) := \{ \tau \circ \pi \in \mathbb{C}^A : \tau \in \Delta(\pi(A)) \}.$$

There exist a σ -algebra $\mathcal{E}$ on $\text{sp}(\pi)$, and a spectral measure P defined on $\mathcal{E}$ and acting on the completion of H , with the following properties:

- (i) *the functions $\hat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$ are $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable for all $a \in A$,*
- (ii) *for each $a \in A$, the closure $\overline{\pi(a)}$ equals $\int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \hat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP$,*
- (iii) *for each $a \in A$, the spectral resolution of the normal operator $\overline{\pi(a)}$ is the image $\hat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}(P)$ of P under $\hat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$,*
- (iv) *a bounded operator b commutes with the normal operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ ($a \in A$) if and only if b commutes with P .*

In particular, the normal operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ ($a \in A$) commute spectrally.

In case the *-algebra is countably generated, the above theorem is contained in a result of Savchuk and Schmüdgen [7, Theorem 7 p. 46].

Notation and terminology are explained in the following section.

A proof is given in section 5 below.

Date: 2009, November 20.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47A67; Secondary: 47L60, 47C10.

Key words and phrases. spectral theorem, representation, unbounded operator, Hermitian *-algebra.

Many thanks to K. Schmüdgen for kind correspondence and reference to [7]. My thanks also go to Torben Maack Bisgaard for his useful comments.

2. TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATION

2.1. Representations. Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a pre-Hilbert space. Denote by $\text{End}(H)$ the algebra of linear operators mapping H to H . Let A be a $*$ -algebra. By a representation of A in H we shall understand an algebra homomorphism π from A to $\text{End}(H)$ such that

$$\langle \pi(a)x, y \rangle = \langle x, \pi(a^*)y \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in A, \text{ and all } x, y \in H.$$

Then $R(\pi) := \pi(A)$ is a $*$ -algebra as is easily seen. (Please note that $\text{End}(H)$ need not be a $*$ -algebra for this.)

2.2. Unitisation and Spectrum. We require a unit in an algebra to be non-zero. The notation e is reserved for units.

We denote the unitisation of an algebra A by $\tilde{A}$. (If the algebra A is unital, then the unitisation $\tilde{A}$ is defined to be A itself.)

The spectrum $\text{sp}(a) = \text{sp}_A(a)$ of an element a of an algebra A is defined as the set of complex numbers λ such that $\lambda e - a$ is not invertible in $\tilde{A}$. The spectrum in an algebra is the same as in the unitisation.

2.3. Homomorphisms and Spectra. An algebra homomorphism between unital algebras is called unital if it takes unit to unit.

If $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ is an algebra homomorphism, then

$$\text{sp}_B(\pi(a)) \setminus \{0\} \subset \text{sp}_A(a) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for all } a \in A. \quad (1)$$

(See e.g. [9, Theorem (4.9) p. 15].) Also, as is easily seen,

$$\text{if } A, B, \pi \text{ are unital, then } \text{sp}_B(\pi(a)) \subset \text{sp}_A(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A. \quad (2)$$

If A is an algebra, we denote by $\Delta(A)$ the set of multiplicative linear functionals on A . The Gel'fand transform of $a \in A$ is denoted by $\hat{a}$.

The above formulae (1) and (2) imply that in an algebra A one has

$$\hat{a}(\Delta(A)) \subset \text{sp}(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in A \quad (3)$$

as $0 \in \text{sp}(a)$ if A has no unit, cf. e.g. [9, Proposition (4.7) p. 14].

If A is a $*$ -algebra, we denote by $\Delta^*(A)$ the set of Hermitian multiplicative linear functionals on A .

2.4. Hermitian $*$ -Algebras. A $*$ -algebra is called Hermitian if the spectrum of each of its Hermitian elements is real.

The above formula (1) also shows that if π is a $*$ -algebra homomorphism from a Hermitian $*$ -algebra A to another $*$ -algebra, then the range $*$ -algebra $\pi(A)$ is Hermitian. In particular, if π is a representation of a Hermitian $*$ -algebra A in a pre-Hilbert space, then $R(\pi) = \pi(A)$ is a Hermitian $*$ -algebra.

The above formula (3) implies that a multiplicative linear functional on a Hermitian $*$ -algebra A is Hermitian: $\Delta^*(A) = \Delta(A)$.

2.5. Images of Spectral Measures. See for example [9, Definition (34.24) p. 158].

2.6. Commutativity for Unbounded Operators. A (possibly unbounded) operator N in a Hilbert space is normal by definition if and only if it is closed, densely defined, and $N^*N = NN^*$, cf. e.g. [6, 13.29 p. 368]. It is then maximally normal, cf. e.g. [6, 13.32 p. 370].

Let N be a (possibly unbounded) normal operator in a Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$. A bounded operator T is said to commute with N if and only if the domain of N is invariant under T , and if $TNx = NTx$ for all x in the domain of N . This is equivalent to $TN \subset NT$. The Spectral Theorem says that this is the case if and only if T commutes with the spectral resolution of N . See for example [6, Theorem 13.33 p. 371].

A bounded operator T commutes with a (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operator A in a Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$ if and only if T commutes with the Cayley transform of A , cf. [9, Lemma (42.15) p. 205].

One says that two (possibly unbounded) normal operators in a Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$ commute spectrally if their spectral resolutions commute. For two self-adjoint operators this is the case if and only if their Cayley transforms commute.

A (possibly unbounded) operator N in a Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$ is normal if and only if $N = R + iS$ where R and S are self-adjoint and commute spectrally. (This is part of [4, Exercise 11 p. 1259].)

3. REMINDERS AND PRELIMINARIES

3.1. The Cayley Transformation in Algebras. We next give a few remarks on Cayley transforms in algebras. (The theory of Cayley transforms of densely defined symmetric operators in a Hilbert space is supposed to be known.)

First, note that an element a of an algebra A commutes with an invertible element b of A if and only if a commutes with b^{-1} .

Next, the Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem says that if r is a non-constant rational functional without pole on the spectrum of an element a of an algebra, then

$$\text{sp}(r(a)) = r(\text{sp}(a)).$$

See for example [9, Theorem (4.8) p. 14].

Let a be an element of an algebra A such that $\text{sp}(a)$ does not contain $-i$. Then

$$g = (a - ie)(a + ie)^{-1} \in \tilde{A}$$

is called the Cayley transform of a . The Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem implies that 1 is never in the spectrum of a Cayley transform. (Because the corresponding Moebius transformation does not assume the value 1.) In particular $e - g$ is invertible in $\tilde{A}$. A simple computation then shows that a can be regained from g as the inverse Cayley transform

$$a = i(e - g)^{-1}(e + g).$$

The Rational Spectral Mapping Theorem implies that the spectrum of a is real if and only if the spectrum of its Cayley transform g is contained in the unit circle.

If A is a $*$ -algebra, and if a is Hermitian, then g is unitary, i.e. $g^*g = gg^* = e$. This follows from the above commutativity property.

If A is a Hermitian $*$ -algebra, then the Cayley transformation of a Hermitian element of A is well-defined.

3.2. Resolutions of Identity and the SNAG Theorem. A resolution of identity is a spectral measure P defined on the Borel σ -algebra of a locally compact Hausdorff space such that the (bounded) measures $\omega \mapsto \langle P(\omega)x, x \rangle$ are inner (and thus outer) regular for all vectors x in the Hilbert space on which P acts. (See [1, Corollary 29.11 p. 183].)

Reminder 2 (the SNAG Theorem for discrete abelian groups). *Let ρ be a unitary representation of an abelian group G in a Hilbert space $H \neq \{0\}$. Equip the dual group of G with the compact Hausdorff topology of pointwise convergence on G . There then exists a unique resolution of identity P on the dual group of G such that*

$$\rho(g) = \int \hat{g} dP \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

(Here $\hat{g}$ denotes evaluation at g , as with the Gel'fand transformation.) One says that P is the spectral resolution of ρ . A bounded operator on H commutes with the operators $\rho(g)$ ($g \in G$) if and only if it commutes with P . (Stone - Naïmark - Ambrose - Godement).

For a proof, see for example [5, Theorem (4.44) p. 105 f.].

4. A SUFFICIENT CRITERION FOR ESSENTIAL NORMALITY

If a is a Hermitian element of a $*$ -algebra A and if either i or $-i$ does not belong to $\text{sp}(a)$ then neither of them belongs to $\overline{\text{sp}(a)}$. (Because for an arbitrary element b of A , one has $\text{sp}(b^*) = \overline{\text{sp}(b)}$ as is easily seen.)

Proposition 3. *Let π be a representation of a $*$ -algebra A in a pre-Hilbert space H . Assume that a is a Hermitian element of A whose spectrum does not contain i or $-i$. Then $\pi(a)$ is essentially self-adjoint.*

Proof. Clearly $\pi(a)$ is symmetric in H . It follows from the preceding remark and formula (1) of subsection 2.3 that the spectrum of $\pi(a)$ in $\text{End}(H)$ does not contain i nor $-i$. Hence $\pi(a) \pm i\mathbb{1}$ are invertible in $\text{End}(H)$, and thus surjective onto H . This implies that $\pi(a)$ is essentially self-adjoint. $\square$

Proposition 4. *Let π be a representation of $*$ -algebra A in a pre-Hilbert space $H \neq \{0\}$. Let a, b be commuting Hermitian elements of A each of whose spectrum does not contain i or $-i$. The self-adjoint closures of $\pi(a)$ and $\pi(b)$ then commute spectrally.*

Proof. This is so because in this case the Cayley transforms of $\pi(a)$ and $\pi(b)$ are commuting bijective isometries of the pre-Hilbert space. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let A, B be two essentially self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$, whose closures $\overline{A}, \overline{B}$ commute spectrally. Then $A+iB$ is essentially normal and its closure is $\overline{A+iB}$.*

Proof. As noted in subsection 2.6, an operator N is normal if and only if $N = R + iS$ where R and S are self-adjoint and commute spectrally. This implies that $\overline{A+iB}$ is normal. In particular $\overline{A+iB}$ is closed. It follows that $A+iB$ is closable and its closure is easily seen to extend the closed operator $\overline{A+iB} \supset A+iB$. Therefore $\overline{A+iB} = \overline{A+iB}$. $\square$

Theorem 6. *Let π be a representation of *-algebra A in a pre-Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$. Let a, b be commuting Hermitian elements of A each of whose spectrum does not contain i or $-i$, and put $c := a + ib$. The operators $\pi(a)$ and $\pi(b)$ are essentially self-adjoint, and their closures commute spectrally. The operator $\pi(c)$ is essentially normal, and one has*

$$\overline{\pi(c)} = \overline{\pi(a)} + i\overline{\pi(b)}.$$

Proof. This follows from the preceding three items. $\square$

Corollary 7. *Let π be a representation of a commutative Hermitian *-algebra A in a pre-Hilbert space $\neq \{0\}$. Then for each element c of A the operator $\pi(c)$ is essentially normal. If $c = a + ib$ with a, b Hermitian elements of A , then the operators $\pi(a)$ and $\pi(b)$ are essentially self-adjoint, their closures commute spectrally, and one has*

$$\overline{\pi(c)} = \overline{\pi(a)} + i\overline{\pi(b)}.$$

Things like these have been noted before, see [8, Corollary 8.1.20 p. 210 & Corollary 9.1.4 p. 237].

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be the set of unitary elements of A . (Recall that A is supposed to be unital.) Then G is an abelian group contained in A . Let ρ denote the restriction of π to G . We may look at ρ as a unitary representation in a Hilbert space. We won't formally distinguish between an operator $\rho(g)$ ($g \in G$) and its closure.

Let Q be the spectral resolution of ρ . (Cf. subsection 3.2.) Denote by $\text{sp}(\rho)$ the support of Q . (Please note that, if necessary, we may consider Q as a resolution of identity on $\text{sp}(\rho)$, the support of which is all of $\text{sp}(\rho)$. This uses the facts that $\text{sp}(\rho)$ is a closed subset of the dual group of G , and that the Borel σ -algebra of $\text{sp}(\rho)$ consists of the intersections of $\text{sp}(\rho)$ with the Borel sets of the dual group of G as is easily seen.)

Restriction of functions on A to functions on G yields a mapping f from $\text{sp}(\pi) \subset \Delta(A) = \Delta^*(A)$ to the dual group of G .

It shall be shown that f is injective. An (automatically Hermitian) multiplicative linear functional on a Hermitian unital $*$ -algebra B is uniquely determined by its values on the real subspace of Hermitian elements, and thus by its values on the set of unitary elements. By the inversion formula of the Cayley transformation. (See subsection 3.1.) Indeed, a Hermitian element $b \in B$ is the inverse Cayley transform of a unitary element, namely of the Cayley transform of b .

Let $\lambda \in \text{sp}(\rho)$. We want to show that λ is the image under f of an element of $\text{sp}(\pi)$. For this purpose, we certainly need to extend λ to a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on A . We set out to do so.

We shall need that λ satisfies the homogeneity property $\lambda(\nu g) = \nu \lambda(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and all ν in the unit circle. (Please note that $\nu g \in G$ here, but $\alpha g \notin G$ if α is a complex number not in the unit circle.) The proof is based on the fact that $\rho(\nu g) = \nu \rho(g)$ as ρ is a restriction of the homogeneous representation π . Indeed, we then have

$$0 = \rho(\nu g) - \nu \rho(g) = \int \widehat{\nu g} - \nu \widehat{g} dQ.$$

This implies $0 = \widehat{\nu g} - \nu \widehat{g}$ Q -a.e., cf. [9, Proposition (34.15) p. 157]. In other words $\widehat{\nu g} = \nu \widehat{g}$ Q -a.e., that is, $\lambda(\nu g) = \nu \lambda(g)$ for Q -almost all $\lambda \in \text{sp}(\rho)$. Next note that one has $\lambda(\nu g) \neq \nu \lambda(g)$ for some $g \in G$ if and only if $\lambda(\nu e) \neq \nu \lambda(e)$. The set of those $\lambda \in \text{sp}(\rho)$ with $\lambda(\nu e) \neq \nu \lambda(e)$ thus is an open Q -null set. Since $\text{sp}(\rho)$ is the support of Q , this open Q -null set must be empty. This proves the homogeneity property. We then also have the reflection property $\lambda(-g) = -\lambda(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

We shall now consider the group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$. This is the set of complex-valued functions on G with finite carrier. The carrier of a complex-valued function c on G is defined by

$$\text{carrier}(c) := \{ g \in G : c(g) \neq 0 \}.$$

For $g \in G$, we shall denote by $\delta_g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ the function assuming the value 1 at g , and vanishing everywhere else on G . Considering G as a basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ via the imbedding $g \mapsto \delta_g$, we see that $\mathbb{C}[G]$ carries a well-defined structure of $*$ -algebra. (Cf. [9, Example 1.5 p. 4].)

Extending λ linearly to $\mathbb{C}[G]$ yields a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional which we denote by the same symbol. Consider the surjective $*$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{span}(G) \subset A$$

given by $\gamma(\delta_g) := g$ for all $g \in G$. We would like to define λ as a linear functional on $\text{span}(G)$ by putting $\lambda(\gamma(c)) = \lambda(c)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}[G]$. It suffices to prove that λ vanishes on $\ker \gamma$. The proof is by induction on the number of elements in $\text{carrier}(c)$ for $c \in \ker \gamma$. The statement trivially holds for a number of elements equal to 0 or 1. The case of a number of 2 elements uses the reflection property and the fact that λ can be well-defined as a linear functional on the set of complex

multiples of a single element of G , which requires the homogeneity property. Assume now that the statement holds for a number of at most $n \geq 2$ elements. Let $c \in \ker \gamma$ such that the carrier of c contains at most $n + 1$ elements. We can assume that $c \neq 0$. For any h in the carrier of c , we have by $c \in \ker \gamma$ that

$$h = -c(h)^{-1} \sum_{g \neq h} c(g) g.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda(c) = \sum_{g \in G} c(g) \lambda(g) = \sum_{g \neq h} c(g) \lambda(g) + c(h) \lambda(-c(h)^{-1} \sum_{g \neq h} c(g) g) = 0$$

because by induction hypothesis, λ is well-defined as a linear functional on the vector space of elements of A of the form $\gamma(d)$ with $\text{carrier}(d)$ contained in $\text{carrier}(c) \setminus \{h\}$. We conclude that λ can be extended to a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on $\text{span}(G) \subset A$.

The set

$$S := \{c \in \text{span}(G) : c \text{ is invertible in } A\}$$

is a unital $*$ -subsemigroup of $\text{span}(G)$. Hence the set of fractions

$$F := \{c^{-1}b \in A : c \in S, b \in \text{span}(G)\}$$

forms a unital $*$ -subalgebra of A .

Next, let a be a Hermitian element of A , and let $g \in G$ be its Cayley transform. Then a is the inverse Cayley transform of g :

$$a = i(e - g)^{-1}(e + g).$$

This says that a is of the form $c^{-1}b$ where $b, c \in \text{span}(G)$ with c invertible in A . That is, $a \in F$. Thus every Hermitian element of A is in F . Since F is a complex vector space, it follows that F is all of A .

For $a = c^{-1}b \in A$ with $c \in S$, $b \in \text{span}(G)$, put $\lambda(a) := \lambda(c)^{-1} \lambda(b)$. (Please note here that $\lambda(c) \in \text{sp}(c)$ is never zero for $c \in S$, cf. formula (3) of subsection 2.3.) It is easy to verify that this definition extends λ to a Hermitian multiplicative linear functional on all of A .

We proceed to prove that the extended λ is in $\text{sp}(\pi)$. We have to show that λ is of the form $\tau \circ \pi$ for some $\tau \in \Delta(\pi(A))$. It suffices to prove that λ vanishes on $\ker \pi$. For this we need a little preparation.

We shall first show that if $g \in G$ satisfies $\rho(g) = -1$, then $\lambda(g) = -1$. Indeed, we then have

$$\int \widehat{g} dQ = \rho(g) = -1 = \int -1 dQ,$$

from which $\widehat{g} = -1$ Q -a.e., cf. [9, Proposition (34.15) p. 157]. This makes that the set of those elements μ of $\text{sp}(\rho)$ for which $\mu(g) \neq -1$ is an open Q -null set. Since $\text{sp}(\rho)$ is the support of Q , it follows that this open Q -null set must be empty. This proves that $\lambda(g) = -1$ as $\lambda \in \text{sp}(\rho)$.

To prove that λ vanishes on $\ker \pi$, let $a \in \ker \pi$ be Hermitian. Let $g \in G$ be its Cayley transform. Then $\pi(g)$ is the Cayley transform of $\pi(a) = 0$, which is $-\mathbf{1}$. From what has been proved above, we obtain $\lambda(g) = -1$. Now $\lambda(a)$ is the inverse Cayley transform of $\lambda(g) = -1$, which is 0. So λ vanishes on $\ker \pi$ indeed. This finishes the proof of our claim that the original $\lambda \in \text{sp}(\rho)$ is the image under f of an element of $\text{sp}(\pi)$.

The inverse of the injective function f thus induces a function

$$h : \text{sp}(\rho) \rightarrow \text{sp}(\pi).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ \Delta \subset \text{sp}(\pi) : h^{-1}(\Delta) \text{ is a Borel set of } \text{sp}(\rho) \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a σ -algebra on $\text{sp}(\pi)$. Trivially h then is Borel- $\mathcal{E}$ measurable. The image P of Q under h is a spectral measure defined on $\mathcal{E}$. (See e.g. [9, Definition (34.24) p. 158] for images of spectral measures.)

We shall now show the property (i) of the statement, namely that the functions $\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$ are $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable for all $a \in A$. It suffices to show this for Hermitian $a \in A$. Now a Hermitian element $a \in A$ is the inverse Cayley transform of an element $g \in G$. Therefore $\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$ is the inverse Cayley transform of $\widehat{g}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$. By continuity of the inverse Cayley transformation, it is enough to show that the function $\widehat{g}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}$ is $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable. The latter follows from the fact that the function $\widehat{g}|_{\text{sp}(\rho)}$ is continuous and from the definition of $\mathcal{E}$.

We are next going to show the assertions (ii) and (iii). Statement (iii) follows from (ii) because every spectral measure defined on the Borel σ -algebra of the complex plane is a resolution of identity. (See e.g. [1, Lemma 26.2 p. 158].)

Denote

$$\Omega := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1, z \neq 1 \}.$$

Let

$$\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega, z \mapsto (z - i)(z + i)^{-1}$$

denote the Cayley transformation, and let

$$\kappa^{-1} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z \mapsto i(1 - z)^{-1}(1 + z)$$

denote the inverse Cayley transformation.

Let first $a \in A$ be Hermitian. Let $g \in G$ be the Cayley transform of a . We have

$$\rho(g) = \int \widehat{g} dQ = \int \text{id} d\widehat{g}(Q),$$

which says that the spectral resolution of $\rho(g)$ is the image $\widehat{g}(Q)$ of Q under $\widehat{g}$.

Proposition 3 says that the operator $\overline{\pi(a)}$ is self-adjoint. Also the unitary operator $\rho(g)$ is the Cayley transform of $\overline{\pi(a)}$. Therefore the spectral resolution of $\overline{\pi(a)}$ is the image of the restriction to Ω of the

spectral resolution of $\rho(g)$ under κ^{-1} , cf. [9, Lemma (42.14) p. 203]. (The set $\{1\}$ always being a null set for the spectral resolution of the Cayley transform of a self-adjoint operator, cf. loc. cit.) That is

$$\overline{\pi(a)} = \int \text{id } d \kappa^{-1}(\widehat{g}(Q)|_{\Omega}).$$

From the fact that g is the Cayley transform $\kappa(a)$ of a , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-1} \circ \widehat{g}|_{\text{sp}(\rho)} &= \kappa^{-1}(\widehat{\kappa(a)}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \circ h) \\ &= \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \circ h)) = \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \circ h. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\kappa^{-1}(\widehat{g}(Q)|_{\Omega}) = \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}(P)$$

because $\widehat{g}(Q)(\{1\}) = 0$ as mentioned above. We conclude that

$$\overline{\pi(a)} = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \text{id } d \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)}(P) = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP.$$

Let now $c \in A$ be arbitrary, and let a, b be Hermitian elements of A with $c = a + ib$. Corollary 7 says that $\overline{\pi(c)}$ is normal and that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\pi(c)} &= \overline{\pi(b)} + i\overline{\pi(a)} = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{a}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP + i \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{b}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP \\ &\subset \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{c}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP, \end{aligned}$$

cf. [9, Theorem (41.11) p. 197]. Since the member on the right hand side is normal, cf. [9, Theorem (41.17) p. 199], it follows by maximal normality of $\overline{\pi(c)}$ (subsection 2.6) that

$$\overline{\pi(c)} = \int_{\text{sp}(\pi)} \widehat{c}|_{\text{sp}(\pi)} dP.$$

We shall next show the property (iv), that is, a bounded operator b commutes with the operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ ($a \in A$) if and only if b commutes with P . (We refer the reader to subsection 2.6.) Let b be a bounded operator. Then b commutes with all the operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ ($a \in A$) if and only if b commutes with the operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ for Hermitian $a \in A$ as is easily seen. Now b commutes with the operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ for Hermitian $a \in A$, precisely if b commutes with the Cayley transforms of these operators. These Cayley transforms are of the form $\rho(g)$ ($g \in G$). Since $G \subset A$, it follows that b commutes with the operators $\overline{\pi(a)}$ ($a \in A$), if and only if b commutes with the operators $\rho(g)$ ($g \in G$). Next we have that b commutes with the operators $\rho(g)$ ($g \in G$) if and only if b commutes with the spectral resolution Q of ρ . The statement follows because the projections occurring in P are the same as those occurring in Q . $\square$

6. SOME CONSEQUENCES

We first give a reminder on the GNS construction, which associates a representation to a positive linear functional.

Reminder 8 (the GNS construction). *Let A be a unital $*$ -algebra, and let φ be a positive linear functional on A . (That is, $\varphi(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in A$.) Assume that φ is non-zero. One defines a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form on A by putting*

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a) \quad (a, b \in A).$$

This sesquilinear form is a so-called Hilbert form, in that it satisfies

$$\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle b, a^*c \rangle \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

It follows that the isotropic subspace

$$I := \{ a \in A : \langle a, b \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } b \in A \}$$

is a left ideal in A . The quotient space $H := A/I$ is a pre-Hilbert space when equipped with the inner product

$$\langle a + I, b + I \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle \quad (a, b \in A).$$

(This follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.) The assignment

$$\pi(a)(b + I) := ab + I \quad (a, b \in A)$$

defines a unital representation π of A in the pre-Hilbert space $H \neq \{0\}$. (This uses the fact that the sesquilinear form is a Hilbert form.) The vector $e + I$ is cyclic for the representation π , and the positive linear functional φ can be regained from the cyclic representation π via

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \pi(a)(e + I), (e + I) \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Reminder 9 (semiperfect $*$ -algebras). *We shall say that a commutative unital $*$ -algebra A is semiperfect, if every non-zero positive linear functional φ on A can be disintegrated as*

$$\varphi(a) = \int \widehat{a} d\mu \quad \text{for all } a \in A$$

for some positive measure μ on $\Delta^(A)$.*

Theorem 10. *Every Hermitian commutative unital $*$ -algebra is semiperfect.*

Proof. Let φ be a non-zero positive linear functional on a Hermitian commutative unital $*$ -algebra A . Consider the unital representation π associated to φ by the GNS construction. Let P be the spectral measure yielded by Theorem 1. Now φ can be regained from π via

$$\varphi(a) = \langle \pi(a)(e + I), (e + I) \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

We can then take the measure $\mu : \omega \mapsto \langle P(\omega)(e + I), (e + I) \rangle$ as a disintegrating measure. $\square$

The next result appears to be known.

Theorem 11. *A *-algebra, which is a field different from $\mathbb{C}$, carries no non-zero positive linear functionals. (This applies for example to $\mathbb{C}(x)$ endowed with the involution making x Hermitian.)*

Proof. Let A be a *-algebra, which is a field different from $\mathbb{C}$. We first note that the elements of $A \setminus \mathbb{C}e$ have empty spectrum because A is a field. It follows that A is Hermitian. It also follows that there is no multiplicative linear functional on A . Indeed, for $a \in A \setminus \mathbb{C}e$, we would run into a contradiction with formula (3) of subsection 2.3, by the fact that $\text{sp}(a)$ is empty as noted above. The statement follows now by the semiperfectness of A guaranteed by the preceding theorem 10. $\square$

Reminder 12 (the radical). *Let A be an algebra. The radical $\text{rad}(A)$ of A is the set of those elements a of A such that $e + ba$ is invertible in the unitisation of A for all $b \in A$ cf. [3, Proposition III.24.16 (ii) p. 125]. Please note that this definition of the radical can be given in terms of the spectrum in the algebra.*

An element a of $\text{rad}(A)$ satisfies $\text{sp}_A \subset \{0\}$, see [3, Proposition III.24.16 (i) p. 125].

An algebra is called radical if it coincides with its own radical. For example, the radical of an algebra is a radical algebra. (This follows from [3, Corollary III.24.20 p. 126].)

We get a conceptually simple proof of the following essential theorem of Torben Maack Bisgaard.

Theorem 13 (Torben Maack Bisgaard [2]). *A positive linear functional on a unital *-algebra vanishes on the radical.*

Proof. Let A be a unital *-algebra, let φ be a positive linear functional on A , and let $c \in \text{rad}(A)$. To prove that $\varphi(c) = 0$, it suffices to show that $\varphi(c^*c) = 0$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\varphi(c)| \leq \varphi(e)\varphi(c^*c)$. Please note that $c^*c \in \text{rad}(A)$ as well. The second commutant B of c^*c in A is a commutative unital *-subalgebra of A , cf. [9, Definition (13.5) p. 41], such that $\text{sp}_B(b) = \text{sp}_A(b)$ for all $b \in B$, cf. [9, Proposition (13.7) p. 42]. It follows that $\text{rad}(A) \cap B \subset \text{rad}(B)$, by the possibility to define the radical through the spectrum. In particular $c^*c \in \text{rad}(B)$. Using the fact that $\text{rad}(B)$ is a radical algebra, we find that an element a of $\text{rad}(B)$ satisfies $\text{sp}_{\text{rad}(B)}(a) \subset \{0\}$ as is seen from the preceding reminder. It follows that $\text{rad}(B)$ is a Hermitian *-algebra, and with it $\mathbb{C}e + \text{rad}(B)$. It also follows that any multiplicative linear functional on $\mathbb{C}e + \text{rad}(B)$ vanishes on $\text{rad}(B)$ as is seen from formula (3) from subsection 2.3. The statement now is a consequence of the semiperfectness of $\mathbb{C}e + \text{rad}(B)$, cf. theorem 10. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bauer, *Measure and Integration Theory*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 26, Berlin - New York, 2001.
- [2] T. M. Bisgaard, Positive Linear Forms Vanish on the Radical, *Positivity* 4, 115–118, 2000.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 80, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1973.
- [4] N. Dunford & J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part II: Spectral Theory*, Wiley Classics Library Edition, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons, New York - Chichester - Brisbane - Toronto, 1988.
- [5] G. B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, 1995.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, 1991.
- [7] Y. Savchuk, K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Induced Representations of *-Algebras*, arXiv:0806.2428v2 [math.RT], 2008.
- [8] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 37, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel - Boston - Berlin, 1990.
- [9] M. Thill, *Introduction to normed *-algebras and their representations*, 6th ed., arXiv:0807.4242v1 [math.OA], 2008.

BD G. - D. CHARLOTTE 53, L - 1331 LUXEMBOURG, EUROPE

E-mail address: math@pt.lu

URL: http://web.me.com/marco_thill/